

COMPARATIVE STUDY ON PRODUCTIVITY GROWTH AND EFFICIENCY
CHANGE BETWEEN ISLAMIC BANKS AND CONVENTIONAL BANKS IN
MALAYSIA

AHMAD TAKI UDDIN BIN SHUAIB
(M0911689M04)

This dissertation submitted in partial fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of
Master Islamic Finance and Banking, Kulliyah of Muamalat
Insaniah University College

0 8 NOV 2012

RUJUKAN SAHAJA

ABSTRACT

This study investigates the changes in the productivity and efficiency of Islamic banks and Conventional banks in Malaysia from the impact of 2007 US sub-prime crisis. It analyses the technological changes (TC) and technical efficiency changes (TEC) of the Islamic banks and Conventional banks using a nonparametric Data Envelopment Analysis (DEA) and Malmquist Productivity Index (MPI). TEC is again decomposed into pure efficiency change (PEC) and scale efficiency change (SEC). This study adopts the intermediation approach of banking services, employing inputs such as interest and non-interest expenses to produce outputs such as net interest and non-interest incomes.

It was found that the mean Total Factor Productivity (TFP) for the whole industry recorded an increase of 4.0%. Both TC and TEC are found to be the important source of productivity growth to Malaysia's banking industry to the overall TFP growth. This increase was attributed by the technological advances and the technical efficiency change, showing that technology and innovation as well as the scale size optimization in the banks is on the upward trend.

Islamic banks (9.0%) achieved higher growth in productivity compared with Conventional banks (3.0%). Islamic banks records higher results in TEC (5.0%), TC (4.0%) and SEC (5.0%). Conventional banks only achieve TEC (1.0%), TC (2.0%) and SEC (1.0%). Both Islamic and Conventional banks PEC unchanged from 2004 to 2010. BMMB recorded the highest growth of TFP 13.0%, TEC 7.0%, SEC 7.0% and TC 6.0%. Thus, Islamic banks are more productive and efficient compared with Conventional banks. Moreover, this study finds that Alliance Bank Malaysia Berhad exhibited lower productivity and efficiency levels with TFP -14.0%, TEC -7.0% and TC -6.0%. Affin Bank and Hong Leong Bank Berhad also recorded negative result in TEC where both show -1.0% regressions.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
Abstract	i
Approval Page	
Declaration	ii
Dedication	iii
Acknowledgements	iv
List of Tables	ix
List of Figures	xi
List of Abbreviations	xii
CHAPTER 1	BACKGROUND TO THE STUDY
1.1	Introduction 1
1.2	Research Background 1
1.2.1	Islamic Banking 1
1.2.2	Development of Islamic Banking in Malaysia 2
1.3	Problem Statement 12
1.4	Objectives of Study 13
1.5	Research Questions 14
1.6	Significance of Study 16
1.7	Scope of Research 17
1.8	Organisation of Study 19
CHAPTER 2	BANK PERFORMANCE: MEASURING PRODUCTIVITY AND EFFICIENCY
2.1	Introduction 20
2.2	Productivity Management 22
2.3	Productivity Measures 25
2.3.1.	Productivity Ratios 25
2.3.2	Productivity Indices 27
2.4	The Difference between Productivity and Efficiency 28

2.5	The Malmquist Productivity (MPI) Approach of Measuring Productivity and the Decomposition of (TFP) Productivity Measure	29
2.6	Methods of Measuring Productivity	32
2.7	Common Approaches of Modelling Bank's Production/ Behaviour	37

CHAPTER 3 LITERATURE REVIEW

3.1	Introduction	40
3.2	Past Studies on Performance of Islamic Banks Compared With Conventional Banks in Malaysia	41
3.3	Past Studies on Performance of Islamic Banks Compared With Conventional Banks in other Countries	43
3.3.1	Bahrain	44
3.3.2	Indonesia	44
3.3.3	OIC	44
3.3.4	Pakistan	45
3.3.5	Gulf Region	46
3.3.6	10 Countries (Malaysia, Sudan, Bangladesh, Tunisia, Jordan, Lebanon, Yemen, Indonesia, Bahrain and Iran)	46
3.3.7	8 Countries (Saudi Arabia, Kuwait, United Arab Emirates (UAE), Qatar, Bahrain, Jordan, Turkey and Malaysia)	46
3.3.8	Turkey	47

CHAPTER 4 RESEARCH METHOD AND DESIGN

4.1	Productivity and Efficiency Concepts	48
4.2	Productivity in Terms of TFP	50
4.3	The MPI	50
4.3.1	Distance Functions	51

4.4	MPI and its Decomposition	52
4.5	DEA	58
4.6	Input and Output Orientated DEA Models	65
4.7	Solving DEA Linear Programming	66
4.8	Summary of Methodology	71
4.9	Population, Sample Size and Time Period of Study	71
4.10	Qualitative Characteristics of Data	73
	4.10.1 Sources of Data	73
	4.10.2 The Need for Balanced Panel Data	74
	4.10.3 The Requirement for Reliable Data	74
4.11	The Production and Intermediation Approaches	75
4.12	Variables	76
	4.12.1 Input and Output Variables and the Data (Data Sample, Inputs–Outputs Definition and the Choice of Variables)	76
4.13	Research Design	78
	4.13.1 MPI Indices Derivation Using DEAP 2.1	78
CHAPTER 5 RESULT PRESENTATION AND ANALYSIS		
5.1	Production Frontier and Efficiency	80
	5.1.1 Overall Banks	80
	5.1.2 Islamic Banks	83
	5.1.3 Conventional Banks	84
5.2	Productivity Performance of Banks	86
	5.2.1 TFP Changes	87
	5.2.1.1 Overall Banks	87
	5.2.1.2 Islamic Banks	88
	5.2.1.3 Conventional Banks	89

5.2.2	Technical Change (TC)	90
5.2.2.1	Overall Banks	90
5.2.2.2	Islamic Banks	91
5.2.2.3	Conventional Banks	92
5.2.3	Technical Efficiency Change (TEC)	93
5.2.3.1	Overall Banks	93
5.2.3.2	Islamic Banks	94
5.2.3.2	Conventional Banks	95
5.2.4	Pure Efficiency Change (PEC) and Scale Efficiency Change (SEC)	96
5.2.4.1	Overall Banks	96
5.2.4.2	Islamic Banks	97
5.2.4.3	Conventional Banks	98
5.3	Productivity Performance for the Entire Industry	99
5.3.1	Overall Banks	99
5.3.1	Islamic Banks	100
5.3.1	Conventional Banks	101

CHAPTER 6 CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

6.1	Conclusion	104
6.1.1	Productivity Performance of the Islamic Banks	104
6.1.2	Productivity Performance of the Conventional Banks	105
6.1.3	Productivity Performance of the Islamic Banks Compared with Conventional Banks	105
6.1.4	Productivity Performance for the Overall Banks	106
6.2	Recommendations	107
6.3	Recommendations for Future Research	107
	Bibliography	109